# London Borough of Bromley NEET and Not Known Analysis December 2014

The data contained within this report has been compiled from the South London Client Caseload Information System (CCIS) Management Information return for December 2014.

This provides the monthly Local authority 16-18 NEET and Not Known performance for December 2014.

The Report provides an analysis of young people of academic age 16-18 (Years 12, 13, 14) within the NEET group, detailed by the following categories:


- Gender, Actual Age, Level of Need, Ethnic Origin
- Vulnerable Group Characteristics
- Comparison to 2013-14
- Ward Map Analysis for NEET totals, adjusted NEET and other characteristics
- NCCIS Pan London Progression

The 16-18 NEET Group has also been analysed to show the following:

- Availability & Non Availability to the Labour Market
- NEET Joiners & leavers
- NEET Duration & Statutory Schools

An enhanced analysis of the 16-18 Not Known Group has also been provided, including an examination of those young people within lapsed activities, ward analysis, and other characteristics.

## Monthly Performance Summary




Monthly Not Kno	own Perfe	ormance
Not Known Total	864	Monthly Change -1.5% ▼
Not Known %	8.2%	Yearly Change
		-7.2% ▼
		Page
16-18 Not Know	n Group <i>i</i>	Analysis
16 & 17 Year Olds	216	25.0%
SEND (Statemented)	22	2.6%
Teenage Mother	3	0.4%
Looked After/InCare	3	<b>0.4%</b> Page 1
16-18 Not Know	n Group <i>i</i>	Activities
Expired Activities	133	15.4%
Situation Unknown	666	77.1%
		Page 1
16-18 Not Know	n Ward A	nalysis
Cray Valley West		
Not Known Total	67	7.8%
		Page 11
16-18 Not Know	n Duratio	n Analysis
	556	64.4%

16-17 Participation			
r Monthly Participatio	n Perfo	rmanc	е
16 & 17 Academic Age	6364	90.29	<b>%</b>
16 Years	3227	95.29	<b>%</b>
17 Years	3137		<b>%</b> ge 18
Monthly Non Participa	ation Per	forman	ce
16 & 17 Academic Age	690	9.8%	0
16 Years	161	4.8%	o O
17 Years	529	14.49	
		Pa	ge 18
		_	
16 & 17 Academic A	ge Anal	ysis	
Working Towards Particip	ation	12 0	.2%
Temporary Break from Le	arning	23 0	.3%
		Pa	ge 19
16 & 17 Participation	n Ward	Analys	is
Highest Participating War	d		
West Wickham		96.59	<b>%</b>
Lowest Participating Ward	_		
Cray Valley West		85.6°	<b>%</b>
			ge 22

## Yearly 16-18 NEET progress 2014/15


#### Monthly NEET progress 2014/15

	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
NEET 2014-15 (Adj %)	5.3%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.5%	7.2%	4.1%	4.1%	4.5%			
Monthly Change %	+0.2% ▲	+0.1% ▲	+0% \$	+0% \$	+0.1% ▲	+1.7% ▲	-3.1% ▼	+0% \$	+0.4% ▲			
NEET 2014/15 (Adj Totals)	543	557	557	560	572	395	353	400	442			
Monthly Change Adj. Totals	+27 ▲	+14 ▲	0 \$	+3 ▲	+12 ▲	-177 ▼	-42 ▼	+47 ▲	+42 ▲			
Actual NEET Totals	528	533	537	544	551	280	322	388	431			
NEET 2013-14 (Adj %)	5.0%	5.4%	5.4%	5.8%	5.9%	7.1%	5.1%	5.5%	5.8%	5.9%	5.8%	5.1%
Yearly Change %	+0.3% ▲	+0% \$	+0% \$	-0.4% ▼	-0.4% ▼	+0.1% ▲	-1% ▼	-1.4% ▼	-1.3% ▼			



### Monthly NEET Academic Year Totals 2014/15

	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Adjusted Year 14 Totals	274	290	281	282	283	177	170	211	237	0	0	0
Adjusted Year 13 Totals	147	155	159	162	168	202	152	156	165			
Adjusted Year 12 Totals	107	112	117	116	121	16	31	34	40			



The local authority monthly NEET performance for Bromley for December 2014 was 4.5%. There is a small decrease in performance on last month's NEET adjusted percentage by 0.4% with an increase in the adjusted NEET total by 42 young people.

There has been a stabilisation in adjusted NEET percentage over the last few months as a consequence of the continuing tracking of the Not Known, with decreases in lapsed EET positively impacting on the adjusted calculation. However, numbers of actual NEET have risen as young people from the Not Known group are identified as being NEET.

3.6% 0.5% 0.3% 0.1% 0.3% 0.3%

0.1%

0.0%

## NEET Academic Age 16-18 (Years 12, 13 & 14) Cohort Analysis

## **Actual Number of NEET Young people:**

Gender An	alysis					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Monthly Change	Yearly Change	16-18 Cohort
Male	187	233	261	+0.5% 🔺	-1.1% ▼	51.2%
	58.1%	60.1%	60.6%			
Female	135	155	170	-0.6% ▼	+1.1% 🔺	48.7%
	41.9%	40.0%	39.4%			
300	-1	Male	■ Female			
200 -	_	233		261		
100 -	187		155	170	39.4%	60.6%
0	October	Novem	nber	December		


Lumbuy Analysis						
	Totals	%	Monthly Change Yearly Change			
White	308	71.5%	-1.2% <b>▼</b> -7.6% <b>▼</b>			
Mixed	24	5.6%	+0.2% 🔺 +2.7% 🔺			
Black	17	3.9%	+0.8% 🔺 +0.3% 🔺			
Asian	6	1.4%	+0.4% 🔺 +0.3% 🔺			
Chinese	0	0.0%	0% \$ 0% \$			
Other	9	2.1%	-0.2% ▼ +0.8% ▲			
No Inform.	67	15.6%	+0.1% 🔺 +3.6% 🔺			

10

0

Ethnicity Analysis

**Vulnerable Groups Analysis** 



Level of Need	d Analys	is				
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Monthly Change	Yearly Change	16-18 Cohort
Minimum	235	291	323	-0.1% ▼	+2.3% ▲	94.0%
	73.0%	75.0%	74.9%			
Supported	66	73	82	+0.2% ▲	-0.4% ▼	4.8%
	20.5%	18.8%	19.0%			
Intensive	21	24	26	-0.2% ▼	-2% ▼	1.2%
	6.5%	6.2%	6.0%			
350 ]	■ Minim	um <b>=</b> Sı	upported	Intensive		
300 -				323	6 00	
250 -		291		(1)	19.0%	
200 - 5					19.0%	
150 -						
100 -						74.9%
50 -	21	73	24	82 82		, ,,3%
0					_	

December

November

October

	Totals		Change	Yearly Change
SEND (Statemented)	32	7.4%	-0.3% ▼	+1.7% 🔺
Looked After/InCare	12	2.8%	0% 💠	-0.3% ▼
Teenage Mother	25	5.8%	-0.1% ▼	+3.5% ▲
Pregnancy	9	2.1%	0% 💠	0% \$
Supervised by YOTS	10	2.3%	+0.7% ▲	+1.2% ▲
Care Leaver	8	1.9%	-0.2% ▼	-2.1% ▼
Substance misuse	1	0.2%	-0.1% ▼	0% \$

2.3%

0.0%

The total of male young people within the NEET Group is much higher than their female counterparts with totals of 261 (60.6%) and 170 (39.4%) respectively.

The majority of the young people within the NEET group in December 2014 required a level of need of 'Minimum' with 74.9% (323).

The largest number of young people within the NEET had an ethnicity of White with 308 (71.5%). The significant totals of vulnerable groups were those young people with statements and Teenage mothers with 7.4% (32) and 5.8% (25) of the total NEET group respectively.

Data Source: December CCIS MI data Produced on: 23/01/2015 Page 3

**Young Carer** 

Refugee/Asyl Seeker

## NEET Academic Age 16-18 Progress to Participation

NEET Availability				
86.5% (373) of the NEET Group are available to the I	Labour	Market	( +0.4% ▲ )	Monthly Change
Working not for reward	7	1.9%		-0.5% ▼
Not yet ready for work or learning	9	2.4%		-0.3% ▼
Start Date agreed	7	1.9%		+0.1% ▲
Seeking employment, education or training	350	93.8%		+0.7% ▲

86.5% (373) of the total young people within NEET group were available to the labour market in December 2014. Other than those defined as simply Seeking EET, the largest totals were from those young people described as not yet ready for work with 2.4% (9) this month.

#### **NEET Unavailability**

13.5% (58) of the NEET Group are not available to the Labour Market ( -0.4% $\blacktriangledown$ )						
Young carers	6	10.3%			-0.8%	$\nabla$
Teenage parents	25	43.1%			-1.3%	$\nabla$
Illness	18	31.0%			+1.4%	
Pregnancy	7	12.1%			+1%	
Religious grounds	2	<mark>3.</mark> 5%			-0.2%	▼
Unlikely to be economically active	0	0.0%			0%	<b>\$</b>
Other reason	0	0.0%			0%	<b>+</b>



13.5% (58) of the total young people within the NEET group were unavailable to the labour market. Young people who were Teenage Parents and those who had illness made up the largest proportion of unavailable group in December with 25 (43.1%) and 18 (31.0%) young people respectively.

53 young people joined the NEET group in December 2014, with 35.9% (19) coming from Education activities. 12 young people left the NEET group in December 2014, with 75.0% (9) going into Employment activities.

## **Actual Number of NEET Young people:**





#### 16-18 NEET Joiners

- Education
- Employment
- Government supported Training
- Re-engagement Provision
- NEET in other area
- Other (inc custodial sentence)

#### NEET Leavers this Month

1	2 Young P	eople Left the NEET group this month	Monthly Change	
0	<b>0.0%</b> Int	o <b>Education</b>	-57.1%	▼
9	<b>75.0</b> % Int	o <b>Employment</b>	+51.2%	▲
0	<b>0.0</b> % Int	O Government Supported Training	-4.8%	▼
0	<b>0.0</b> % Int	o Re-engagement Provision	0%	<b>\$</b>
0	<b>0.0</b> % Int	O NEET in other area	0%	<b>\$</b>
1	8.3% Int	o Custodial Sentence	+8.3%	<b>\$</b>
2	<b>16.7%</b> Int	o Moved Away	+2.4%	<b>A</b>
0	<b>0.0</b> % Int	o Cannot Be Contacted	0%	<b>\$</b>
0	<b>0.0</b> % Int	o Refused to disclose activity	0%	<b>\$</b>
0	<b>0.0</b> % Int	o Unknown Activity	0%	<b>\$</b>
0	<b>0.0%</b> Int	o Other Reason	0%	<b>\$</b>



#### 16-18 NEET Leavers

- EducationGovernment Supported Training
- NEET in other area
- Moved Away
- Refused to disclose activity
- Other Reason

- Employment
- Re-engagement Provision
- Custodial Sentence
- Cannot Be Contacted
- Unknown Activity

NEET Academic Age 16-18 Ward Analysis

**Actual Number of NEET Young people:** 

431

NEET	Ward A	Inalysis


			NEET	Ger	nder			Е	Ethnicity				Aca	demic /	Age		Tar	get Gro	ups	
Ward Name	Totals	Adj.	Adj. %	М	F	Wh	Mi	ВІ	As	Ch	Ot	No	16	17	18	SEND	ТМ	Р	L	CL
Cray Valley West	59	60	9.2%	59.3%	40.7%	78.0%	5.1%	3.4%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11.9%	10.2%	32.2%	57.6%	8.5%	10.2%	3.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Crystal Palace	23	24	8.7%	52.2%	47.8%	52.2%	17.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.1%	21.7%	39.1%	39.1%	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Mottingham and Chislehurst North	28	28	8.0%	64.3%	35.7%	67.9%	7.1%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	14.3%	10.7%	42.9%	46.4%	14.3%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cray Valley East	38	39	7.3%	68.4%	31.6%	71.1%	2.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.7%	13.2%	26.3%	60.5%	5.3%	2.6%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Penge and Cator	33	34	6.3%	51.5%	48.5%	72.7%	6.1%	9.1%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	6.1%	48.5%	45.5%	6.1%	12.1%	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Orpington	29	29	5.5%	58.6%	41.4%	65.5%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	20.7%	6.9%	34.5%	58.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%
Biggin Hill	17	18	4.6%	64.7%	35.3%	76.5%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	64.7%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Darwin	7	7	4.2%	57.1%	42.9%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bromley Common and Keston	22	23	4.1%	45.5%	54.5%	68.2%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	68.2%	13.6%	4.5%	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%
Copers Cope	9	9	4.1%	33.3%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	55.6%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Plaistow and Sundridge	16	17	3.7%	56.3%	43.8%	62.5%	18.8%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	18.8%	43.8%	37.5%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%
Clock House	13	13	3.7%	84.6%	15.4%	46.2%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.5%	0.0%	53.8%	46.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hayes and Coney Hall	21	21	3.5%	57.1%	42.9%	81.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	4.8%	33.3%	61.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Shortlands	9	9	3.2%	77.8%	22.2%	66.7%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kelsey and Eden Park	17	18	3.0%	52.9%	47.1%	76.5%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	64.7%	5.9%	11.8%	0.0%	5.9%	5.9%
Chislehurst	11	11	3.0%	45.5%	54.5%	72.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	27.3%	72.7%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chelsfield and Pratts Bottom	14	14	3.0%	71.4%	28.6%	85.7%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Farnborough and Crofton	16	16	2.9 <mark>%</mark>	81.3%	18.8%	93.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	56.3%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bromley Town	12	12	2.8%	75.0%	25.0%	83.3%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%	16.7%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
West Wickham	13	13	2.2%	76.9%	23.1%	61.5%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bickley	8	8	2.0%	62.5%	37.5%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Petts Wood and Knoll	6	6	1.5%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Unknown ward	10	10	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%	60.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	10.0%	50.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0%

M - Male F - Female

Wh - White Mi - Mixed BI - Black As - Asian Ch - Chinese Ot - Other No - No Information

SEND - Statemented TM - Teenage Mother P- Pregnancy L- Looked after / in care CL - Care Leaver

## NEET Academic Age 16-18 Ward Mapping Analysis





The largest totals of those young people described as being NEET were from the Bromley wards of Cray Valley West and Cray Valley East with 59 (13.7%) and 38 (8.8%) young people within the 16-18 group respectively. 10 Young people were from undefined wards in Bromley as they had no address and postcode recorded for them.


Cray Valley West has the highest NEET adjusted percentage with 9.2% (60) when the statistical calculation of NEET is applied, which takes into account the contribution from the lapsed EET group.

Chislehurst ward has the highest percentage of young people who are statemented within their own ward NEET cohort with 18.2% (2).

Bickley and Copers Cope wards have the highest percentage of young people who are Teenage mothers or are expecting a child within their own ward NEET cohort with 37.5% (3) and 33.3% (3) respectively.








Data Source: December CCIS MI data Produced on: 23/01/2015 Page 6

NEET Academic Age 16-18 (Years 12, 13 & 14) Cohort Analysis

**Actual Number of NEET Young people:** 

431




one Recorded	57	13.2%	
RO - The Priory School (Bromley)	46	10.7%	
RO - Coopers Technology College	37	8.6%	
RO - Hayes School	31	7.2%	
RO - Darrick Wood School	24	5.6%	
RO - The Ravensbourne School	21	4.9%	
RO - Harris Academy Bromley	20	4.6%	
RO - Charles Darwin School	19	4.4%	
RO - Bromley Pupil Referral Service	18	4.2%	
RO - Kemnal Technology College	17	3.9%	
RO - Harris Academy Beckenham	16	3.7%	
RO - Beaverwood School For Girls	14	3.2%	
RO - Langley Park School For Boys	14	3.2%	
RO - Bullers Wood School for Girls	11	2.6%	
RO - Ravens Wood School for Boys	10	2.3%	
RO - Bishop Justus (CofE)	9	2.1%	
RO - Home Tuition - Bromley	6	1.4%	
RO - Langley Park School For Girls	5	1.2%	
RO - Burwood School	5	1.2%	
RO - Bromley Tutorial Foundation	5	1.2%	

In December 2014, the largest totals of Bromley 16-18 NEET who had a statutory school recorded, previously attended The Priory School (Bromley) with 46 (10.7%) young people.

The most numerous duration of those within the NEET group this month is 1-2 Months and 1-2 Years with 82 (19.0%) and 73 (16.9%) young people respectively.

## NCCIS 2014/15 London Local Authority 16-18 NEET Three month progress

	Oct	Nov	Dec 2014
England	4.6%	4.6%	4.7%
London	3.5%	3.2%	3.4%
City of London	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harrow	1.5%	1.5%	<b>1.5</b> %
Southwark	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%
Lambeth	2.3%	2.1%	2.2%
Westminster	2.1%	1.8%	2.3%
Hillingdon	2.8%	2.4%	2.5%
Barnet	2.3%	2.5%	2.5%
Hammersmith & Fulham	2.4%	2.6%	2.6%
Waltham Forest	3.2%	2.8%	2.7%
Wandsworth	2.9%	2.9%	2.8%
Brent	2.8%	2.2%	3.0%
Hackney	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%
Ealing	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Enfield	3.3%	3.1%	3.1%
Sutton	2.9%	3.0%	3.2%
Croydon	4.3%	3.1%	3.2%
Hounslow	3.5%	3.3%	3.3%
Redbridge	2.6%	3.1%	3.4%
Lewisham	4.4%	3.3%	3.4%
Tower Hamlets	5.4%	3.7%	3.4%
Haringey	3.6%	3.0%	3.6%
Bexley	3.6%	3.1%	3.6%
Kensington & Chelsea	3.7%	3.6%	3.6%
Kingston	3.6%	3.5%	4.1%
Merton	3.6%	4.0%	4.3%
Camden	5.0%	4.4%	4.3%
Havering	3.9%	3.9%	4.4%
Newham	3.8%	4.1%	4.5%
Bromley	4.1%	4.1%	4.5%
Richmond	4.4%	4.3%	4.7%
Greenwich	5.4%	4.7%	5.1%
Islington	5.9%	5.1%	5.1%
Barking & Dagenham	5.0%	5.3%	5.9%




This shows a three monthly NEET comparison against other London local authorities which is taken from the latest monthly NCCIS published LA tables for 16-18 Academic age Adjusted NEET Percentage performance.

## Yearly 16-18 Not Known progress 2014/15


#### Monthly Not Known progress 2014/15

	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Not Known 2014/15 %	8.4%	8.0%	7.2%	5.6%	6.2%	60.8%	21.1%	9.7%	8.2%			
Monthly Change %	-1.1% ▼	-0.4% ▼	-0.8% ▼	-1.5% ▼	+0.6% ▲	+54.6% ▲	-39.7% ▼	-11.4% ▼	-1.5% ▼			
Not Known 2014/15 Totals	912	872	779	609	672	6311	2201	1022	864			
Monthly Change Totals	-123 ▼	-40 ▼	-93 ▼	-170 ▼	+63 ▲	+5639 ▲	-4110 ▼	-1179 ▼	-158 ▼			
Not Known 2013/14 Totals	950	903	909	828	923	6470	3605	1776	1676	1286	1042	1035
Not Known 2013/14 %	9.0%	8.4%	8.4%	7.6%	8.5%	59.6%	33.2%	16.3%	15.4%	11.8%	9.5%	9.5%
Yearly Change	-0.6% ▼	-0.4% ▼	-1.2% ▼	-2% ▼	-2.3% ▼	+1.2% ▲	-12.2% ▼	-6.6% ▼	-7.2% ▼			



#### Monthly Not Known Academic Year Totals 2014/15

		Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
	Not Known Year 14	497	466	416	315	361	2495	1468	726	592			
Not Known Voor 12 156 156 139 109 108 1788 203 77 85	Not Known Year 13	259	250	224	185	203	2028	530	219	187			
Not known real 12 130 130 133 103 100 1700 203 17 03	Not Known Year 12	156	156	139	109	108	1788	203	77	85			



The local authority monthly Not Known performance for Bromley for December 2014 was 8.2% which is a total of 864 young people.

This total shows a significant decrease in the number of Not Known young people from November 2014, where 9.7% (1022) of the 16-18 group were Not Known.

The substantial decreases in Not Known in the last month is a consequence of the continuing local tracking as well as the ongoing processing of school and college admission lists. The Not Known performance this month is significantly better than at the same time last year.

## Not Known Academic Age 16-18 (Years 12, 13 & 14) Cohort Analysis

## **Actual Number of Not Known Young people:**

Not Known Group Total	864	8.2%
Current situation not known	666	77.1%
Cannot Be Contacted	55	6.4%
Refused to disclose activity	10	1.2%
Currency Expired - EET	133	15.4%
Currency Expired - Other	0	0.0%

Monthly Cl	hange	Yearly Ch	ange
-2.1%	▼	-3%	<b>V</b>
+1%	<b>A</b>	+6.2%	_
+0.9%	<b>A</b>	+1.2%	_
+0.2%	<b>A</b>	-4.1%	$\mathbf{v}$
0%	<b>\$</b>	-0.2%	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

	Totals	%		Monthly Change
Year 12	85	9.8%	85	+2.3% ▼
Year 13	187	21.6%	187	+0.2%
Year 14	592	68.5%	592	-2.5% 🔺

Activity Expired Analysis				
<b>Expired Destinations</b>	133	15.4%	Monthly Change	Yearly Change
Education	44	33.1%	-12.1% ▼	-43% ▼
Employment	89	66.9%	+12.1% 🔺	+45.1% 🔺
Training	0	0.0%	0% \$	-0.9% ▼
Other	0	0.0%	0% \$	-1.2% ▼

Gender Analys	sis			
	Totals	%		Monthly Change
Male	455	52.7%	455	-0.1% ▼
Female	407	47.1%	407	+0.1% ▲

Vulnerable Groups Analysis

	Totals	%		Monthly Chan	ge Yearly Chan
White	501	58.0%		-1.4% <b>v</b>	-11.6%
Mixed	53	6.1%		-0.1% <b>v</b>	+1%
Black	67	7.8%		+0.1%	<b>+</b> 0.2% <b>△</b>
Asian	30	3.5%		+0.3%	+0.5%
Chinese	3	0.4%		0%	\$ 0% €
Other	16	1.9%		+0.2%	-0.1%
No Inform.	194	22.5%	J	+1.1% ▲	+10.2%

	Totals	%		Monthly Change
SEND (Statemented)	22	2.6%		+0.5%
Looked After/InCare	3	0.4%		+0.3%
Teenage Mother	3	0.4%		+0.2%
Pregnancy	0	0.0%		0%
Supervised by YOTS	1	0.1%	I	+0.1% ▲
Care Leaver	4	0.5%		+0.4% ▲
Substance misuse	0	0.0%		-0.1% ▼
Young Carer	0	0.0%		-0.1% ▼
Refugee/Asyl Seeker	0	0.0%		0%

The largest proportion of the 864 young people within the Not Known group were from 'Situation Unknown' with 77.1% (666). Those young people with lapsed activities were the second largest part of Bromley's 16-18 Not Known group with 15.4% (133). Young people in the academic age of year 14 were the largest group within the Not Known in December 2014 with 592 (68.5%) young people. Statemented young people made up 2.6% (22) of the Not Known this month.

Those within Employment destinations make up the biggest part of the 133 young people with expired or lapsed currencies in the 16-18 Not Known group with 66.9% (89).

Not Known Academic Age 16-18 Ward Analysis

**Actual Number of Not Known Young people:** 

864


## Not Known Ward Analysis

	Totals	Not Known %	Gender		Ethnicity						Academic Age			Target Groups					
Ward Name			M	F	Wh	Mi	ВІ	As	Ch	Ot	No	16	17	18	SEND	ТМ	Р	L	CL
Cray Valley West	67	7.8%	41.8%	58.2%	65.7	6 3.0%	7.5%	1.5%	1.5%	4.5%	16.4%	13.4%	14.9%	71.6%	4.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Penge and Cator	64	7.4%	62.5%	37.5%	45.3	6 7.8%	15.6%	3.1%	0.0%	1.6%	26.6%	9.4%	18.8%	71.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cray Valley East	62	7.2%	58.1%	41.9%	61.3	8.1%	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%	3.2%	17.7%	9.7%	11.3%	79.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kelsey and Eden Park	48	5.6%	35.4%	64.6%	56.3	8.3%	4.2%	8.3%	0.0%	4.2%	18.8%	10.4%	14.6%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Orpington	46	5.3%	45.7%	54.3%	56.5	6 8.7%	6.5%	4.3%	2.2%	0.0%	21.7%	10.9%	21.7%	67.4%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bromley Common and Keston	45	5.2%	48.9%	51.1%	64.4	6 8.9%	4.4%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	17.8%	2.2%	20.0%	77.8%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Crystal Palace	41	4.7%	58.5%	41.5%	34.1	6 7.3%	19.5%	2.4%	0.0%	2.4%	34.1%	14.6%	26.8%	58.5%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%
Mottingham and Chislehurst North	39	4.5%	59.0%	41.0%	48.7	6 10.3%	12.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	25.6%	10.3%	30.8%	59.0%	5.1%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Farnborough and Crofton	38	4.4%	55.3%	44.7%	65.8	6 7.9%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	0.0%	18.4%	10.5%	13.2%	76.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bromley Town	33	3.8%	66.7%	33.3%	57.6	6 0.0%	12.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	15.2%	15.2%	69.7%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Plaistow and Sundridge	33	3.8%	72.7%	27.3%	48.5	6 9.1%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	27.3%	3.0%	27.3%	69.7%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%
West Wickham	32	3.7%	37.5%	62.5%	78.19	6.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	9.4%	9.4%	81.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chislehurst	32	3.7%	56.3%	43.8%	65.69	6.3%	12.5%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	9.4%	25.0%	65.6%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Clock House	30	3.5%	46.7%	53.3%	30.0	6 10.0%	6.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	13.3%	13.3%	73.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chelsfield and Pratts Bottom	27	3.1%	48.1%	51.9%	77.89	6 0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	14.8%	7.4%	29.6%	63.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hayes and Coney Hall	27	3.1%	59.3%	40.7%	77.89	6 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	3.7%	25.9%	70.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	3.7%
Copers Cope	27	3.1%	40.7%	59.3%	44.4	6 3.7%	7.4%	11.1%	0.0%	3.7%	29.6%	3.7%	22.2%	74.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Biggin Hill	26	3.0%	61.5%	38.5%	84.6	6 7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	3.8%	15.4%	80.8%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bickley	26	3.0%	61.5%	38.5%	57.7	6 0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	26.9%	65.4%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Shortlands	21	2.4%	42.9%	57.1%	52.49	6 14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	19.0%	9.5%	14.3%	76.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petts Wood and Knoll	18	2.1%	50.0%	50.0%	72.2	6 0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%
Darwin	11	1.3%	36.4%	63.6%	72.7	6 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown ward	71	8.2%	56.3%	43.7%	52.19	6 4.2%	11.3%	5.6%	0.0%	2.8%	23.9%	15.5%	39.4%	45.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

M - Male F - Female


Wh - White Mi - Mixed BI - Black As - Asian Ch - Chinese Ot - Other No - No Information


SEND - Statemented TM - Teenage Mother P- Pregnancy L- Looked after / in care CL - Care Leaver



The largest totals of those Not Known young people were from the Bromley wards of Cray Valley West and Penge and Cator with 7.8% (67) and 7.4% (64) young people within the 16-18 group respectively. 71 young people were from undefined wards in Bromley as they had no valid address or post code recorded for them.

Bromley Common and Keston ward has the largest percentage of young people with SEN Statements within their own NEET ward cohort with 6.7% (3).






Not Known Academic Age 16-18 (Years 12, 13 & 14) Cohort Analysis

Actual Number of Not Known Young people:

864




The most numerous duration of those within the Not Known group this month
is 4-5 Months and 1-2 Months with 556 (64.4%) and 81 (9.4%) young people
respectively.

None Recorded	200	23.1%	
BRO - Langley Park School For Girls	58	6.7%	
BRO - The Priory School (Bromley)	52	6.0%	
BRO - Coopers Technology College	45	5.2%	
BRO - Darrick Wood School	40	4.6%	
BRO - Beaverwood School For Girls	38	4.4%	
BRO - Charles Darwin School	36	4.2%	
BRO - Ravens Wood School for Boys	35	4.1%	
BRO - Harris Academy Beckenham	35	4.1%	
BRO - Bishop Justus (CofE)	34	3.9%	
BRO - Harris Academy Bromley	33	3.8%	
BRO - The Ravensbourne School	31	3.6%	
BRO - Langley Park School For Boys	29	3.4%	
BRO - Kemnal Technology College	26	3.0%	
BRO - Bullers Wood School for Girls	25	2.9%	
BRO - Hayes School	24	2.8%	
Out of Area School/College	10	1.2%	
BRO - Bromley Pupil Referral Service	10	1.2%	
3RO - Bishop Challoner School	9	1.0%	
BRO - Newstead Wood School For Girls	9	1.0%	

In December 2014, the largest totals of Bromley 16-18 not Known who had a statutory school recorded, previously attended Langley Park School For Girls with 58 (6.7%) young people.

## NCCIS 2014/15 London Local Authority 16-18 Not Known Three month progress

	Oct	Nov	December 2014
England	19.6%	11.0%	8.8%
London	30.6%	13.2%	10.1%
Harrow	15.6%	1.5%	1.6%
Hillingdon	22.9%	1.6%	1.6%
Redbridge	18.1%	2.9%	2.5%
Ealing	16.3%	4.1%	3.0%
Hammersmith & Fulham	27.7%	3.0%	<b>3.1</b> %
Brent	32.4%	3.4%	3.4%
Havering	26.5%	6.1%	3.5%
Hounslow	21.1%	4.0%	4.0%
Bexley	41.6%	11.3%	5.2%
Barnet	33.0%	8.9%	6.0%
Greenwich	34.2%	10.3%	6.4%
Merton	18.3%	8.4%	6.6%
Kingston	20.0%	11.9%	7.0%
Newham	25.1%	10.3%	7.3%
Richmond	23.7%	12.4%	7.4%
City of London	29.6%	7.5%	7.7%
Bromley	21.1%	9.7%	8.2%
Tower Hamlets	40.0%	13.3%	8.7%
Barking & Dagenham	28.0%	11.5%	9.2%
Sutton	20.2%	12.1%	10.1%
Camden	29.0%	16.5%	10.3%
Wandsworth	31.5%	18.2%	13.2%
Kensington & Chelsea	37.8%	19.2%	15.5%
Enfield	28.1%	18.2%	16.7%
Westminster	38.2%	20.5%	17.0%
Hackney	42.0%	24.4%	17.0%
Croydon	40.9%	22.6%	17.4%
Islington	38.8%	24.1%	17.8%
Lambeth	42.1%	22.9%	17.9%
Lewisham	47.9%	23.9%	18.1%
Southwark	43.2%	23.4%	18.3%
Haringey	37.6%	26.1%	21.8%
Waltham Forest	37.4%	24.8%	22.2%



This shows a three monthly Not Known comparison against other London local authorities which is taken from the monthly NCCIS published LA tables for 16-18 Academic age Not Known Percentage performance.

## **RBK South London CCIS Service**

## **Monthly NEET and Not Known Cohort Analysis**

## **Definitions & Methodology**

#### 1 Introduction

1.1 The data within this report has been compiled from the South London IYSS Client Caseload Information Systems Management Information return to the Department for Education for 2014/15. It also reflects the changes to the cohort rules set out by the DfE in April 2011 and the changes to NEET currency & adjusted calculation in April 2013.

## 1.2 16-18 Cohort Rule Changes in April 2011

The 16-18 Post Compulsory cohort is now measured by academic age, so the report will be based upon those young people in Year 12, 13, & 14. This group of young people will therefore include those young people aged 16 to 18 and also some 19 year olds.

Also, the responsible Local Authority of all those young people in post compulsory destinations will now be based on residence. This change will mean the Lead Local Authority for all post compulsory education destinations will now be defined by the residence of the young person rather than their educated LEA.

#### 1.3 NEET adjusted calculation changes in April 2013

From April 2013, those NEET activities which have passed their 3 month currency period will no longer lapse into the Not Known group. These NEET activities will therefore remain part of the total NEET group. As a consequence of these changes, the local authority NEET totals will be inflated compared to the previous monthly and yearly totals, and the Not Known group deflated in the same amount.

Please note this change when comparing NEET and Not Known totals and percentages from previous months and years prior to April 2013, as historical totals would have been calculated in the previous way.

#### 2 Definitions

#### 2.1 The Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET) cohort

This group is defined as having twelve specific NEET destination types which describe a NEET activity related to the circumstance of the young person. Further information and detailed definitions of these activity types are available from the RBK South London CCIS service.

#### 2.2 Vulnerable group categories

There are ten specific target group characteristics within the 16-18 NEET group with the report. These include teenage mothers and LDD. Further information and detailed definitions of these vulnerable group types are available from the RBK South London CCIS service.

# **RBK South London CCIS Service**

#### 2.3 The Not Known cohort

These are defined as having four unknown activity types including those destinations which have lapsed currencies and are unable to be established.

From April 2013, lapsed NEET activities will no longer become part of the Not Known totals when their 3 month currency expires.

Further information and detailed definitions on those destinations described as being Not Known are available from the RBK South London CCIS service.

### 2.4 The NEET adjusted percentage performance calculation

The DfE has defined a formula to calculate an adjusted 16-18 NEET performance by analysing both totals of NEET, EET and lapsed activities. From April 2013, the statistical formula has been updated so NEET activities no longer lapse but remain part of the total NEET group when their 3 month currency period has expired.

The adjustment factor to be used from April 2013 will be:

Adjusted NEET = NEET + 8% currency expired EET

Adjusted EET = EET + 92% currency expired EET

Adjusted % NEET = adjusted NEET / (adjusted NEET + adjusted EET)

Estimated number NEET = adjusted % NEET x cohort

Further detailed information on how the DfE calculates adjusted NEET using this formula is available from the RBK South London CCIS service.